

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/524,422	03/11/2000	Randall W. Nelson	41821.0236	6081
7590 01/05/2004 LAURA J. ZEMAN SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. ONE ARIZONA CENTER 400 EAST VAN BUREN			EXAMINER	
			COUNTS, GARY W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	1/
PHOENIX, AZ 85004-2202			DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004	(6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		NELSON, RANDALL W.				
Office Action Summary	09/524,422	·				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Gary W. Counts	1641				
Period for Reply	ocaro on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 O	October 2003.					
, == .	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 9-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
<u> </u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 4</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1196	(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the fir 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receiv ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 est sentence of the specification of povisional application has been re ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	tion No yed in this National Stage yed. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ 	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/524,422

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the claims

The Request for Continued Examination, amendment and declaration filed October 27, 2003 is acknowledged and has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Nelson et al (Mass Spectrometric Immunoassay, Anal. Chem. 1995, 67, 1153-1158).

Application/Control Number: 09/524,422

Art Unit: 1641

Nelson et al disclose a filter pipette tip having an affinity reagent (beads containing immobilized antibodies) present within the tip (p. 1154 experimental section, see also figure 1).

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ollington et al (US 5,403,745).

Ollington et al disclose a pipette comprising antibodies immobilized to beads (solid substrate). Ollington et al disclose that a modified pipette tip can contain a filter within the pipette tip (col 13, lines 41-43 and figures 13-15).

4. Claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rampal et al (US 5,437,979).

Rampal et al disclose a pipette tip that is modified or adapted to hold a solid phase support in a secure manner while still permitting the free flow of fluids into and out of the pipette tip. Rampal et al disclose that this can be done with porous frits (filter) (col 2, lines 8-18). Rampal et al disclose that the solid phase support comprise immobilized species (analytes).

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that the declaration filed October 27, 2003 and signed by Randall W. Nelson, an inventor in the instant application and the principal investigator involved in the printed publication entitled "Mass Spectrometric Immunoassay", anal. Chem. 1995, 67, 1153-1158 listing Randall W. Nelson, Jennifer R. Krone, Allan L. Bieber, and Peter Williams as authors. Applicant relies on the declaration filed October 27, 2003 to overcome the Nelson et al reference. This declaration is not found

Application/Control Number: 09/524,422

Art Unit: 1641

persuasive because section 1 of the declaration submitted by Randall W. Nelson states "I am a co-inventor in application having Serial No. 09/524,422 along with Peter Williams and Jennifer Reeve Krone". This declaration contradicts the originally filed declaration submitted March 11, 2000, which lists only Randall W. Nelson as the inventor. Jennifer Reeve Krone and Peter Williams are not listed as inventors of the present application (09/524,422). Therefore, the 102 rejection concerning the Nelson et al reference is maintained.

Applicant argues that Ollington et al (US 5,403,745) fails to disclose each and every element of Applicants' claimed invention, namely at least an antibody or antigen immobilized to a solid substrate to form an affinity reagent and a pipette tip having a filter element contained therein for retaining the affinity reagent. Applicant specifically states that the filter element is clearly not contained within the pipette tip. This is not found persuasive because Ollington et al specifically teaches that the pipette tip can be a modified pipette tip having the filter element contained within the pipette tip (Fig. 15).

Conclusion

- 5. No claims are allowed.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Guirguis (US 5,042,502) disclose an apparatus and method, which can use immunoassay in sample treatment (see whole document).

Good et al (US 5,595,653) disclose an apparatus for extracting an analyte from a liquid sample, comprising a microcolumn having a microparticulate media therein, the media being sandwiched between two compression layers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary W. Counts whose telephone number is (703) 305-1444. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (703) 305-3399. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Gary W. Counts

Examiner

Art Unit 1641

December 29, 2003

Lary Count

MARY E CEPERLEY PRIMARY EXAMINER

AU 1641